




Destiny Rezendes @dezzie_rezzie

Jun 1 · 13 tweets · [dezzie_rezzie/status/1664063850277138432](https://twitter.com/dezzie_rezzie/status/1664063850277138432)

1 🧵 Remember "Build Back Better"? Or "New Normal" Phrases that didn't accidentally transpire, but rather were pre-determined & agreed upon globalist talking points. How do you spot a Globalist? Well they sound like this:



2 🧵 The Globalists always tell people what their agenda is. Sure, it's wrapped in a barbed-wire-tight level of Orwellian double speak but nonetheless they will tell you: New Normal. Equity. Sustainability. Build Back Better, etc..

Equity (eh-kwitty): Forcing everyone to be treated "fairly" while simultaneously prioritizing the "disenfranchised" first so everyone can receive an equal, pre-determined by the authorities, portion of less or more than what you already have.

Resilience (Rez-ill-yence): the demand for those who've undergone trauma, hardship and difficulties to continue to endure the effects of those hardships, silently. "Bare with it"

One Health (won-Hell-th): New world order's answer to medicine. One government, One slave class, one elite class, determining one health standard for everyone! Because medically we are all EXACTLY the same!

Disease X: Globalist speak for "our next planned pandemic" a range of lab altered pathogens with varying levels of mortality, to unleash on the world- very much about equity. Like planet X, the globalists know it exists, but will deny its existence in a concrete manner until they've figured out how to capitalize from it. SO stay tuned.

3 🇺🇸 Although Biden likes to claim that "Build Back Better" was his brain child, it wasn't. It was made from the agendas of the World Bank and WEF.. We can see from the repetitious rhetoric what they have planned for the "new normal"/NWO

The World Bank 2018 "Building Back Better"

"Achieving resilience through stronger, faster, and more inclusive post-disaster reconstruction"

McKinsey & Company

State of the European asset management industry: Adapting to a new normal

November 2019

McKinsey & Co, the MOST prestigious corrupt, profitable, & renowned consulting firm in the world
(involved in massive scandals like the Uyghur genocide, the 2008 crash, the Oxycontin epidemic, Enron, and dozens more)

In November 2019 held a conference around their publication which says

"Adapting to a new normal"

The World Reconstruction Conference, in May 2019 proposed the global platform for disaster risk reduction titled "Build Back Better"

The WRC is run by the World Bank, The UN & EU.

About the World Reconstruction Conference

The World Reconstruction Conference (WRC) is a global forum that provides a platform for policy makers, experts, and practitioners from government, international organizations, Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs), the academia, and private sector from both developing and developed countries to come together to collect, assess, and share experiences in disaster recovery and reconstruction and take forward the policy dialogue. Traditionally, the WRC is organized by three partners (EU, UN, World Bank) in conjunction with the Global Platform for Disaster Risk Reduction. For the first time, the International Recovery Platform (IRP) will join UNDRR, World Bank/Global Facility for Disaster Reduction and Recovery (GFDRR) and UNDRR to jointly coordinate the WRCs.

Working Sessions

Concept Note Template

Event title	Build Back Better & WRC outcomes
Date and Time	May 19 11:00 – 12:30
Background and	The Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030 urges the UN

4 🇺🇸 Another term in the Globalist dictionary is "Disease X" or "Pathogen X." ⚠️ A Bill & Melinda Gates + UC Davis + Eco Health Alliance agenda to globalize health and militarize the countermeasures.

2017, the 7th International Conference on Building RESILIENCE was also titled with "disaster recovery, can we afford to build back better?"

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Procedia Engineering 212 (2018) 451–458

Procedia Engineering

www.elsevier.com/locate/procedia

7th International Conference on Building Resilience: Using scientific knowledge to inform policy and practice in disaster risk reduction, ICBR2017, 27 – 29 November 2017, Bangkok, Thailand

Funding mechanisms for disaster recovery: can we afford to build back better?

Dr Kristen Macaskill*, Professor Peter Guthrie*

*University of Cambridge, Department of Engineering, Cambridge, CB3 1PE, UK

The World Reconstruction Conference, in May 2019 proposed the global platform for disaster risk reduction titled "Build Back Better"

The WRC is run by the World Bank, The UN & EU.

Member States to prioritize building back better in recovery, rehabilitation and reconstruction from disasters. It is indicative of a broadly increasing recognition that recovery presents opportunities to rebuild communities and livelihoods with improved assets and capacities, with greater resilience and reduced vulnerabilities, and to integrate disaster risk reduction across the sustainable development agenda. Building back better in recovery offers a critical opportunity that must be adequately planned for, resourced and implemented, as communities must not simply be restored to their pre-disaster status quo vulnerabilities.

Building back better has been advocated for recovery from many recent disasters. However, while the notion of building back better is increasingly broadly embraced as a guiding principle, there remains a great need for countries and communities to adequately prepare to build back better before disaster strikes. For most decision makers, it is less of a question of whether to build back better, but how building back better should be done.

Globalists at the WRC's lists as expected outcomes "How to attain the dividends from building back better."

Expected Outcomes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clear understanding of the objectives of a more inclusive build back better agenda 2. Improved understanding of the practical steps including resources and capacities governments should address to prepare to build back better, ways to measure it progress and ensure its integration into DRR plans, and broader efforts to make progress on target (d) 3. A set of recommendations on how to obtain the dividends from building back better, and the benefits of inclusion.
Event title	Build Back Better & WRC outcomes
Date and Time	May 19 11:00 – 12:30
Version Number	Page 6
UNDRR Panel	Mr. Yuki Matsushita
Organizing Team Members	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Jon Latham, World Bank 2. Ryan Collier, National Institute of Building Sciences 3. Alexander Wierzbicki, IEA 4. Philip Watkins, Build Change 5. Kimberly Pearson, International Code Council 6. Rita Misra, UNDP 7. Angila Masi, University of Baltimore 8. John Tsang, ICF 9. Rahul Sahas, Southern Alberta Institute of Technology 10. Chris Swann, World Council 11. Bill Rouse, ICF India 12. Kuldip Singh, Government of India 13. Giovanni Bernardi, UNISDR

March of 2020, The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation wrote an article in the Lancet concerning the WHO's agenda to counter "Disease X", Eager to plan the next pandemic while Covid-19 was just beginning.

Personal View

Disease X: accelerating the development of medical countermeasures for the next pandemic

Shirone Simpson, Michael C. Crofford, Vidyut Ghoshan, Ajay Chakrabarti

WHO has listed several priority diseases with epidemic potential for which there are no, or insufficient, medical countermeasures. In response, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (with support from PricewaterhouseCoopers) coordinated subject matter experts to create a pre-pandemic plan for Disease X. Disease X is caused by Pathogen X, an infectious agent that is not currently known to cause human disease, but an archetypal agent of a future outbreak with epidemic or pandemic potential. We have identified crucial areas for acceleration in medical countermeasure product development and international coordination. We have also reviewed novel platforms and process improvements related to manufacturing, which could revolutionize the response to the next pandemic. Finally, we created several coordination and engagement guides. These guides range from the rational design of an intervention target product profile, to the key factors of vaccine and therapeutic development, to accelerated manufacturing and regulatory mechanisms. In this Personal View, we provide a high-level summary of the outcomes of the medical countermeasure development workstream, intended for a broad audience including academic, medical countermeasure developers, and multidisciplinary coordinating bodies. We hope that they might find this piece useful in prioritising strategic investments and efforts to

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Procedia Engineering 212 (2018) 451–458

Procedia Engineering

www.elsevier.com/locate/procedia

World Health Organization

The WHO in August of 2022 held a "Pathogen X" meeting to plan around a "Future Pandemic"

WHO Health Emergencies programme
Meeting on Pathogen X

OBJECTIVES

The WHO R&D Blueprint is organising a consultation to apply scientific lessons learned during the SARS-CoV-2 global pandemic and other recent outbreaks to address the research needs for unknown agents capable of future pandemics – Pathogen X.

Main discussion points include the following, with reference to research and development leading to safe and effective vaccines and therapeutics:

Review of previous pandemics with an emphasis on COVID-19

1. What relevant scientific information did we have before the pandemic?
2. What scientific strategies were successful?
3. What scientific strategies could be improved?
4. What will the next pandemic look like?

29-30 August 2022

11:00-18:00 Central European Time CET

5 Most globalist entities have already adopted the "One Health" policy. The CDC, the UN, WEF, WHO, UNC Chapel Hill, UCSF, UC Davis, USDA, etc have all pledged to the One Health Agenda which is certainly the backbone of the WHO pandemic treaty.

Disease X policy for the US out of Georgetown University April 2023, July 2020: LSE's Health and Resilience. Moderna's manufacturer: Resilience

Viral Families and Disease X: A Framework for U.S. Pandemic Preparedness Policy

Authors: Caroline Schreiner, Joseph Bouché, Katherine Quinn, Anush Adhikari, Anna Pugh

CSET Center for Strategic and Emerging Threats April 2023

COMMENTARY

What Happens After Disease X: Using One Health to Prevent the Next Pandemic

Gail Hansen, DVM, MPH, Hansen Consulting, LLC; Jonna Mazet, DVM, MPVM, PhD, University of California, Davis One Health Institute; Jonathan Rushton, MAgSci, PhD, University of Liverpool; and Cheryl Stroud, DVM, PhD, One Health Commission

November 9, 2020

On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) officially declared COVID-19 a global pandemic. This is the first time the world has seen a pandemic sparked by a coronavirus, but it is not likely the last pandemic our world will experience. While the virus at most certainly originated in animals, COVID-19 is now a human-to-human transmitted disease. This is the fifth emergence of a major zoonotic disease in the two decades since 2000. The world's anticipation and response to the current crisis have been hampered and stunted by siloed responses to emerging and highly transmissible infectious diseases.

Nearly 75 percent of new emerging human patho-

race is to other animals and the ecosystem. In short, the health systems and decision-makers have failed to establish bio-surveillance at the human/animal interface that would allow actions to be taken to thwart pandemics.

The concept of "One Health" recognizes that human, animal, plant, and environmental health are interconnected. It is a collaborative framework that unites education, research, industry, government agencies, and health officials at the local, regional, national, and global levels. Human, veterinary, plant, and environmental health systems have historically functioned in isolation from one another. Barriers to sharing information,

Jonna Mazet, UC Davis Grad & One Health founding member, and member of EcoHealth Alliance

UC Davis Global Health

About Initiatives Engagement Students Education Travel Health

One Health Institute

Initiatives

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

One Health

"One Health" a term coined by William Karesh & Peter Daszak of Eco Health alliance spreads to the WHO, UC Davis, & the FAO of the UN

"One Health" spread to UNC Chapel Hill, the UN, the CDC, the Lancet...

THE LANCET

One Health: a call for ecological equity

CDU

One Health

Joint tripartite and UNEP statement on definition of "One Health"

Healthy ecosystems

One Health

6 One health, Disease X, pathogen surveillance, Gain of Function/Directed Evolution, stakeholders, resilience, equity, sustainability are all pseudo-words used to ensnare the unsuspecting populations into a Globalist-ran New World Order.

Risky Disease/Countermeasure "Research"

Need for Public/Private Partnered Disease "Surveillance"

Enhanced Pathogens + Patents for Research/"Countermeasures"

Enhanced Pathogens leak/release/emerge cause for panic

Why in News

Recently the Prime Minister addressed the **World Economic Forum (WEF)** Davos Agenda via video conferencing.

- The WEF annual meeting in Davos (Switzerland) engages the world's top leaders to shape global, regional and industry agendas.

One Earth, One Health:

- During the course of **Covid-19**, India saved many lives by exporting essential medicines and vaccines by following its vision of **"One Earth, One Health"**.
- India has delivered 1154.173 lakh doses of Covid-19 vaccines to 97 countries till 31st December 2021.
- India is the **world's third-largest pharmaceutical producer** and is considered 'pharmacy to the world'.

Pro-Planet People (P3) Approach:

- Proposed the idea of the "P3 (Pro-Planet-People) movement" that underscores India's commitments to **climate change at the global forum (UNFCCC COP 26)**.

WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM

Volume 8, Number 10—October 2002

THEME ISSUE

Bioterrorism-related Anthrax

Collaboration Between Public Health and Law Enforcement: New Paradigms and Partnerships for Bioterrorism Planning and Response

Jay C. Butler¹*, Mitchell L. Cohen², Cindy R. Friedman³, Robert M. Scripp⁴, and Craig G. Wozniak⁵

Author affiliations: ¹Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA; ²Federal Bureau of Investigation, Washington, D.C., USA; ³Cite This Article

Abstract

The biological attacks with powders containing *Bacillus anthracis* sent through the mail during September and October 2001 led to unprecedented public health and law enforcement investigations, which involved thousands of investigators from federal, state, and local agencies. Following recognition of the first cases of anthrax in Florida in early October 2001, investigators from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) were mobilized to assist investigators from state and local public health and law enforcement agencies. Although public health and criminal investigations have been conducted in concert in the past, the response to the anthrax attacks required close collaboration because of the immediate and ongoing threat to public safety. We describe the collaborations between CDC and FBI during the investigation of the 2001 anthrax attacks and highlights the challenges and successes of public health and law enforcement collaborations in general.

On This Page

Similarities and Differences

New Partnerships, New Paradigms

Conclusion

Cite This Article

Figures

Figure 1

Figure 2

Tables

Table

Download(s)

The Hegelian Dialectic

Problem (Thesis)

Social Engineer Proposes Overaction


Desired Change (Synthesis)

Social Engineer Concedes Solution

Proposed Change (Antithesis)

7 The goal is for these globalist terms and policies to quietly saturate the public & manipulate the governance of the globe. They agree to these agendas not in congressional hearings but rather in exclusive, Invitation only conferences hosted around the world by think tanks.

8 🇺🇸 We, in the public, aren't called to weigh in on these decisions & likely weren't considered in their decision making process except in their choice to NOT include us or any public scrutiny & laws. Aspen Institute, Milken, Sun Valley, SALT, Bilderberg, & WEF are just a few.




OECD Future of Education and Skills 2030

Project background

Table 1. Comparison of society, industry and education across the 19th and 20th centuries, and the aspirational vision for the 21st century*

	19th century	20th century	Model for 21st century
World economy	Core wars, and fragmentation of colonies and empires	World Wars I and II, fragmentation of colonies and empires	Interdependence among nations, globalisation, and digitalisation
Technological	Electricity, telephone	Computer	AI, robotics, nanotechnology, biotechnology, space exploration
Main industry	Oil industry, textile industry	Chemical, electronics, housing	As 20 century, robotics, nanotechnology, biotechnology, space exploration
Business	Mass production by machine	From manual to machine - semi-automated	Computer, social, cloud
Education	Focus on public schooling	General education for all, and selective for individual consumers	General education for all, and selective for individual consumers
		Dependent social responsibility (CSR)	Dependent social responsibility (CSR)

* Note: The table is a simplified representation of complex phenomena in OECD countries; therefore some of the tables may not represent the general trends of the countries' jurisdictions. For the information concerning the 21st century, the aims is not to summarize general trends but to set out an aspirational vision, a "best-case-scenario". This content trends may still be emerging at the time of writing.



About Us

Events

Our People

Programs

5 Best Ideas of the Day

Support

[DONATE](#)

Global Science

Science & Social Justice

Public Trust in Science

One Health / One Planet: A Special Magazine on Climate Change and Infectious Diseases

[VIEW THE MAGAZINE E-READER](#)

Published in May 2021, *One Health / One Planet* offers wide-ranging perspectives on climate change, infectious diseases, and the intersection between the two. The digital magazine is a collaboration between the *Agencia Instituto Science & Society Program* and the science outlet *Leaps.org*—with sponsorship by the *Science Philanthropy Alliance*.

Throughout the issue, we explore 11 lenses:

- PARTNERSHIPS IN ONE HEALTH / ONE PLANET:** Conversation between Rajiv

9 🇺🇸 We in the USA as well as in other free countries, are in the current state of something called "Democratic Backsliding/ DB " which always results in authoritarianism. Always.

Number of articles

Year

- Democratic backsliding
- Democratic backsliding and authoritarianism
- Democratic backsliding and authoritarianism and authoritarianism

10 🇺🇸 This means a dictator-like slave system where the military & government have total control of everything. The militarization of medicine like all the C19 vaccines which were done via the US ARMY, & EcoHealth's research via the Office of Countering Weapons of Mass destruction.

[illegible]

11 🇺🇸 Why on Earth is the DHS's Office of Countering WMDs funding EHA? Why were all the US vaccines contracted through the Army? Where is the people's say in this? The individual will become extinct & only the collective will remain to serve. Unless we can stop this.

Provide emergency response assistance and support in coordination with other agencies
Help lead U.S. government research response to zoonotic diseases, including through implementation of a U.S. government agricultural research agenda.

Note: This table is representative. It does not capture every codification of DoD activity, such as all DoD Instructions and Directives, and deliberately excludes those that have been replaced with newer or different directives. It also does not address U.S. status. Because this report went to press before the presidential transition, this table does not reflect words created or recorded by the Biden Administration.

1.a The NBIC, the DHS and the US Intelligence Community (IC)

GTN was set up under the National Biosurveillance Integration Center (NBIC) which is part of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), all part of the US Biological Defense Program.

RSTP Investments

Financial reports reveal that RSTP led the company's first round of funding, which amounted to \$30 million. Former Managing Director and co-founder of RSTP Paul Calabrese - a name that appears many times on Hunter Biden's hard drive - also sits on Hunter's Board of Advisors.

Contract ID:	HS00C16C00113	Reference ID:	-
Modification Number:	P00008	Transaction Number:	0
Award/IDV Type:	DCA Definitive Contract	Action Obligation (\$):	-531,658.43
Date Signed:	Oct 19, 2021	Solicitation Date:	-
Contracting Agency ID:	7001	Contracting Agency:	OFFICE OF PROCUREMENT OPERATIONS
Contracting Office Name:	ORND ACQ DIV	PSC Type:	S
PSC:	8599	PSC Description:	SPECIAL STUDIES/ANALYSIS-OTHER
NAICS:	841990	NAICS Description:	ALL OTHER PROFESSIONAL, SCIENTIFIC, AND TECHNICAL SERVICES
Entity City:	NEW YORK	Entity State:	NY
Entity ZIP Code:	10012320	Additional Reporting Code:	-
Additional Reporting Description:	-	Unique Entity ID:	TK578B84706
Ultimate Parent Unique Entity ID:	TK578B84706	Ultimate Parent Legal Business Name:	ECOHEALTH ALLIANCE INC.
Legal Business Name:	ECOHEALTH ALLIANCE INC.	CAGE Code:	30803

USASPENDING.gov

Search Award Data Explore the Data Download the Data Find Resources

Contract Summary

Definitive Contract # PID HSHQDC16C00113 Completed

Awarding Agency: Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Recipient: ECOHEALTH ALLIANCE INC. Related Awards: N/A

Start Date: Sep 30, 2016
Current End Date: Sep 29, 2019
Potential End Date: Oct 14, 2022

HS00C16C00113 on USASPENDING.GOV says the contract began in late 2016, was completed in late 2019 with a potential end date of late 2022.

Awarding Agency: Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Recipient: ECOHEALTH ALLIANCE INC. Related Awards: Parent Award Unique Key: N/A

400 W 34TH ST 17TH FL
NEW YORK, NY 10001-2320
UNITED STATES
Congressional District: NY-12


Despite what the political and corporate trends are pushing for, I think its clear to see that the militarization of medical research carries great risk and only reduces transparency.

Start Date: Sep 30, 2016
Current End Date: Sep 29, 2019
Potential End Date: Oct 14, 2022

Question. Research. Unite. Overcome.

Got it? ❤️

Links:



- LINKS:
- https://www.unisdr.org/conference/2019/globalplatform/programme/working-sessions/assets/pdf/5cc70b0b79025W5S-Concept_Note.pdf
 - <https://www.ecohealthalliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Opportunities-for-Enhanced-Defense-Military-and-Security-Sector-Engagement-in-Global-Health-Security.pdf>
 - [https://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/laninf/PIIS1473-3099\(20\)30123-7.pdf](https://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/laninf/PIIS1473-3099(20)30123-7.pdf)
 - <https://initiatives.weforum.org/global-health-equity-network/home>
 - <https://cset.georgetown.edu/wp-content/uploads/CSET-Viral-Families-and-Disease-X-A-Framework-for-U.S.-Pandemic-Preparedness-Policy.pdf>

@threadreaderapp unroll this thread

...